



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,811	11/25/2003	Charles Hensley	33205.0217	8179
7590 11/10/2010 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202				
EXAMINER PAK, JOHN D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1616				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/10/2010		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/722,811	HENSLEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	John Pak	1616	

**All Participants:**

 (1) John Pak.

 (2) Cynthia Pillote.
**Status of Application:** After-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Examiner informed Ms. Pillote that the terminal disclaimers of 7/15/2010 and 10/14/2010 could not be accepted upon further review because the statutory term was not properly set forth in the second instance for each terminal disclaimers. See page 2 of the terminal disclaimer of 7/15/2010, second paragraph; and page 2 of the terminal disclaimer of 10/14/2010, first paragraph. Only 35 USC 154 and 173 must be recited. The Examiner stated that in the absence of an acceptable terminal disclaimer, the outstanding grounds of obviousness type double patenting rejection are not overcome; and because there is no more time remaining (6 months period for reply has expired), this application is deemed abandoned. The Examiner stated that he will expedite the examination of the continuation case, 12/904,749, so that all issues from this application can be quickly resolved in that continuation case once the case becomes available for examination. .